

Artificial Intelligence and Robotics in Education

Chiara Panciroli^{1,2}, Anita Macauda³ and Luca Ferrari⁴

¹ University of Bologna, via Filippo Re 6, Bologna, Italy

² University of Bologna, via Filippo Re 6, Bologna, Italy

³ University of Bologna, via Filippo Re 6, Bologna, Italy

⁴ University of Bologna, via Filippo Re 6, Bologna, Italy

Abstract

This contribution aims to focus attention on the research that the working group of the Department of Educational Sciences of the University of Bologna is developing in the field of Artificial Intelligence and Robotics (AIR). In particular, the research group is developing two lines: AIR for Learning with a focus on learning processes and levels of personalization supported by AI and ER; Learning for AIR with a focus on AI and Robotics education and the need to integrate the school curriculum.

Keywords 1

Educational technology, Artificial Intelligence, Educational Robotics, Teaching and Learning Tool

1. Introduction

This contribution aims to focus attention on the research that the working group of the Department of Educational Sciences of the University of Bologna is developing in the field of Artificial Intelligence and Robotics (AIR). The application of AI and robots in education is innovating teaching and learning methods and tools, redefining the roles of teachers and students respectively [1] [2]. The concept of learning environment is also evolving towards an open ecosystem in which multiple stakeholders interact (children, teenagers, teachers, educators, families, policy makers, producers/suppliers of technological tools, ...).

In this general context, AI and ER become both objects of study and tools/environments to support the processes of cognition and metacognition and open up to the experimentation

of new spaces of action, communication and intersection between the different areas of knowledge and creativity [3]. With reference to the scientific literature [4] [5], two main lines of research specifically emerge: *AIR for Learning* with a focus on learning processes and levels of personalization supported by AI and ER; *Learning for AIR* with a focus on AI and robotics education and the need to integrate the school curriculum. On these two lines, an experimentation is being launched which will involve some schools of the first and second cycle of Emilia-Romagna.

2. AIR for Learning

Recent studies and research [6] [7] highlight how the use of educational robots within socio-

Ital-IA 2023: 3rd National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, organized by CINI, May 29-31, 2023, Pisa, Italy

EMAIL: chiara.panciroli@unibo.it (A. 1);

anita.macauda@unibo.it (A. 2); l.ferrari@unibo.it (A. 3)

ORCID: XXXX-XXXX-XXXX-XXXX (A. 1); XXXX-XXXX-

XXXX-XXXX (A. 2); XXXX-XXXX-XXXX-XXXX (A. 3)



© 2023 Copyright for this paper by its authors. Use permitted under Creative Commons License Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0).

CEUR Workshop Proceedings (CEUR-WS.org)

constructivist teaching activities has a significant impact on the learning of the younger generations: it stimulates their interest and their motivation towards knowledge; encourages interaction with the environment through realistic challenges [8] [9]; enhances the playful dimension of the teaching experience. Starting from kindergarten, many experimentations have already introduced different types of robots [10] [11] [12] within interdisciplinary projects [13] involving different fields of experience (the self and the other, the body and movement, images, sounds and colours).

Cheng, Su, and Chen [6] identify two main potentials in the use of robots in teaching. First, robots have several characteristics that make them particularly useful in supporting students' acquisition of knowledge and skills: the ability to reproduce and perform repetitive tasks accurately; flexibility, interactivity, humanoid aspect; the ability to move and move one's body. Secondly, robots can facilitate learning, acting on student motivation, through practical experiences that create an engaging, attractive, and interactive learning environment. Specifically, sector studies highlight how educational robotics:

the.

- i. favors the development of computational thinking [14]
- ii. develops problem solving by facing and solving real situations and challenges [15]
- iii. promotes the learning of abstract concepts in concrete contexts of exploration and discovery [16]
- iv. supports students with attention difficulties by making them more responsive and inclined to listen [17]
- v. improves relational skills [18]
- vi. supports the development of creative thinking [19].

1.1. AIR for personalized learning

Recent developments in AI and robotics support teachers by automating activities based on predefined formats that deliver personalized and adaptive instruction [20] [21]: from monitoring student progress [22] to designing teaching activities through management tools based on AI tutors. Currently the main applications subject to experimentation of AIR in school contexts refer to

the field of personalized learning in relation to the individual needs of students.

Personalized learning prioritizes the specificities of each student, allowing them to offer differentiated and flexible teaching solutions. In particular, tutoring systems based on AI and robotics, which consider the different elements that are involved in the knowledge processes of students, can have a relevant impact and make learning more meaningful.

In educational-didactic contexts, robotics finds application above all in contexts in which reinforcement learning is necessary to progressively adapt the difficulty of the proposed exercises to the knowledge and skills achieved by the students [23]. Specifically, Han, Kang and Hong [24] highlight how robot-assisted learning (RALL- Robot-Assisted Language Learning) can positively contribute to improving students' motivation and performance in language learning.

Huang [25] reports the results of an experimentation that involved the use of an AI-based educational robot to innovate English language teaching resources in primary school (vocabulary, role-playing games and free dialogue) to support attention and initiative of children. Specifically, some experimentations have introduced an educational artificial intelligence robot based on voice interaction to promote the development of personalized, accurate and intelligent teaching.

The system is based on three aspects: speech recognition, interaction management and speech synthesis. The recognition accuracy is improved by the algorithm. The results show that the accuracy of the AI speech recognition system can reach 90%, allowing the robot to communicate with students and timely answer their questions [26]. In this regard, Karales et al. [27] highlight how artificial intelligence and educational robotics can effectively support teaching scenarios in future K-12 curricula.

1.2. AIR for creative learning

There is a growing scientific literature concerning educational robotics, artificial intelligence, and creative learning [28] [29] [30] [31]. In this regard, there are two main points of attention:

1. educational robotics and artificial intelligence to promote and develop creativity, with reference to the processes of construction and programming of

robots in the context of existing models of creative cognition;

2. educational robotics and artificial intelligence to study and better understand the creative process embodied in artificial agents [19].

With reference to the first point, the process of building robotic models is characterized by a constant search and movement between thought and generative strategies and thought and exploratory strategies and vice versa. In relation to the second point, to be able to simulate the creative process, robots as autonomous agents must be able to:

- Acquire and learn new knowledge.
- Activate and re-use knowledge in a wide range of environments.
- Select and modify problem solving strategies.
- Use meta-reasoning to define and redefine problems, evaluate process and artifacts.

AI embodied inside a robot poses new and interesting challenges to educational robotics. The AI machine must be able to incorporate new input data generated by multiple sensors and to update its internal representation of the world, integrating the new information with what the robot itself already has.

In this way, the robot can "learn" from its own experience, read data, and build hierarchical architectures of knowledge that provide advanced levels of input and output [32].

3. Learning for AIR

The growing development of AI technology and robotics in society finds a fundamental interlocutor in school education. In response to this necessary dialogue, recent studies and research have developed innovative teaching materials for AI and robotics education, as well as proposals for integration into the school curriculum [33] [34] [35].

Williams, Won Park, Oh and Breazeal [36] propose an early childhood AI curriculum based on knowledge of AI principles through the construction and programming of robots.

Children are confronted with AI in the form of smart toys (Bee Bot, Blue-Bot, Cubetto, Ozobot and Dash and Dot) and educational and entertainment content declined in the classroom with a computational approach.

Pre-schoolers train and interact with social robots to learn about knowledge-based intelligent systems, supervised machine learning and generative AI. An example of a curriculum promoting AI education in kindergarten was proposed by Williams et al. [37] in the experimentation of the "PopBots"; it is a hands-on toolkit and curriculum designed to support children in learning about AI by building, programming, training and interacting with a social robot.

Hsu et al. [38] identify some key strategies that place in successive phases from primary to secondary school: integrate the knowledge base within the curriculum; select some content for systematic knowledge; develop AI talents in the profession.

In response to the growing demand for AI education, development environments integrated with programming blocks such as Machine Learning for Kids, eCraft2Learn and Cognimates have been developed on specific online platforms.

These environments provide many AI experiences and learning activities that allow young users to engage in the creation of a customized AI project and to understand its applications [38]. In this context, the open-source project AIR4Children: Artificial Intelligence and Robotics for Children [11] is significant: on the one hand it addresses aspects concerning inclusion, accessibility, transparency, equity and participation, on the other it aims at the design and creation of open learning materials on AI and ER, made available to children from different socioeconomic backgrounds.

Specifically, educational materials with child-focused programming languages and customization of open source robots aim to refine an AIR curriculum for children. STEM-based robotic tools, especially select robotic kits with machine learning (ML) capabilities, can be used to address ML concepts in K-12 classrooms [27].

These elements of attention have led to the progressive development of a training system. In fact, since AIR is an integral part of the industry 4.0 era, it becomes necessary to introduce AI and Robotics literacy with reference to primary and secondary education. Hence the need to train educators and teachers by providing them with adequate tools and methods to achieve this goal.

This need has led to the definition of a standardized and internationally recognized certification system – European Patent for Robots and Intelligent Systems – EDLRIS – for AI and robotics at K-12 level, aimed at teachers and students to promote their literacy. This license is based on several projects previously implemented and evaluated and includes teaching curricula and training modules on artificial intelligence and robotics, following a blended learning approach based on the acquisition of specific skills.

The application, through an innovative approach, of a standardized and widely recognized training and certification system for AI and robotics at the K-12 level for both high school teachers and students, thus aims to promote the literacy on AI/Robotics [33].

4. Conclusions

With reference to the studies and the proposals and experimentations presented here - which demonstrate a growing interest in AIR systems to support teaching and learning processes - it should however be noted that:

1. scientific research on the theme of the curriculum for Education to the Artificial Intelligence and robotics, especially in early childhood, is particularly lacking;
2. the use of AI and robotics applications is still limited in school teaching, following resistance given both by economic concerns (high costs and adaptation to system requirements) and by aspects concerning privacy (Bailey, 2019).

In fact, in the face of a growing number of RE and AI experimentations in education, most of the proposed projects tend to focus more on the development of technical skills than on critical reflection which presupposes adequate training upstream. Therefore, it is urgent to invest in the construction of curricular proposals that also take into account ethical, cultural and social aspects and that promote an AI culture.

Specifically, a training in AIR represents a priority condition for making students critical users, planners responsible for the educational dialogue and for the construction of knowledge.

5. References

- [1] L. Bailey. “New Technology for the Classroom. Mobile Devices, Artificial Intelligence, Tutoring Systems, and Robotics”, in: K. M. Torres, A. Statti (Eds.), *Educational Technology and the New World of Persistent Learning* (pp. 1-11), IGI Global, Hershey, Pennsylvania, 2019.
- [2] C. Panciroli, P.C. Rivoltella. *Pedagogia algoritmica. Per una riflessione educativa sull'intelligenza artificiale*. Morcelliana, Brescia, 2023.
- [3] E. Mohammed, E. Watson. *Towards Inclusive Education in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: Perspectives, Challenges, and Opportunities*, in: J. Knox, Y. Wang, M. Gallagher (Eds.), *Artificial Intelligence and Inclusive Education. Perspectives on Rethinking and Reforming Education*, Springer, Singapore, 2019.
- [4] I. Papadopoulos, R. Lazzarino, S. Miah, T. Weaver, B. Thomas, C. Koulouglioti. “A systematic review of the literature regarding socially assistive robots in pre-tertiary education”. *Computers & Education*, 155 (2020): 103924-103924
- [5] C. Panciroli, M. Fabbri, A. Macaudo. “Educational Robotics between Neurosciences and Artificial Intelligence: a systematic analysis”, *Italian Journal of Health Education, Sport and Inclusive Didactic*, 5 (2021): 330-339.
- [6] A.W. Cheng, P.C. Su, N.S. Chen. “The Essential Applications of Educational Robot: Requirement Analysis from the Perspectives of Experts, Researchers and Instructors”, *Computers & Education*, 126 (2018): 399-416.
- [7] M. Resnick. *Lifelong kindergarten: Cultivating creativity through projects, passions, peers, and play*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2017.
- [8] D. Alimisis. “Robotics in Education & Education in Robotics: Shifting Focus from Technology to Pedagogy”, *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Robotics in Education* (pp. 7-14), MatfyzPress, Czech Republic, 2012.
- [9] L. Giannandrea, F. Gratani, A. Renieri. “Teacher training on Educational

- Robotics: a systematic review”, *International Journal of Social Science and Technology*, 6, 4 (2021): 36-58.
- [10] F. Tanaka, K. Isshiki, F. Takahashi, M. Uekusa, R. Sei, K. Hayashi. “Pepper learns together with children: Development of an educational application”, in *2015 IEEE-RAS 15th International Conference on Humanoid Robots (Humanoids)*, 270-275, IEEE, Seoul, 2015.
- [11] R. Montenegro, E. Corona, D. Perez-Badillo, D. Cruz, A. Mandujano, M. Xochicale. “AIR4Children: Artificial Intelligence and Robotics for Children”, in *Woodstock’18: ACM International Conference of Human-Robot Interaction*, ACM, New York, 2021
- [12] D. Frison. “La robotica educativa nei servizi educativi 0-6: un’analisi sistematica della letteratura”, *Form@re*, 19, 1 (2019), 30-46.
- [13] P. Cortiana, C. Rigotto. Insegnare la letteratura attraverso la robotica educativa: un’esperienza nella scuola primaria, in “*Form@re* 19,1 (2019): 91-105.
- [14] Y.A.C. González, A.G.V. Muñoz-Repiso. “Educational robotics for the formation of programming skills and computational thinking in childish”, *2017 International Symposium on Computers in Education (SIIE)*, Lisbon, 2017.
- [15] A. Garavaglia, E. Murgia, L. Petti, F. Bassi, S.L. Maranesi. “Introduzione della robotica in attività di problem solving nella scuola primaria. Analisi dei livelli di focalizzazione sugli scopi del problema”, *Mondo Digitale*, 75, 2018.
- [16] A. Di Nuovo, A. Cangelosi. Abstract Concept Learning in Cognitive Robots. *Current Robotics Reports*, 2 (2021) 10.1007/s43154-020-00038-x.
- [17] K. Kabacińska, K., T.J. Prescott, T.J. & J.M. Robillard. Socially Assistive Robots as Mental Health Interventions for Children: A Scoping Review. *Int J of Soc Robotics* 13 (2021), 919-935.
- [18] J.M. Kory-Westlund. Relational AI: Creating Long-Term Interpersonal Interaction, Rapport, and Relationships with Social Robots. PhD Thesis, Media Arts and Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, 2019.
- [19] A. Gubenko, C. Kirsch, J.N. Smilek, T. Lubart, C. Houssemand. Educational Robotics and Robot Creativity: An Interdisciplinary Dialogue. *Frontiers in Robotics and AI*, 8, 2021.
- [20] O. Etzioni, C. Schoenick. “Artificial Intelligence: What Educators Need to Know”. *Education Week* (2018), URL: <https://www.edweek.org/technology/opinion-artificial-intelligence-what-educators-need-to-know/2018/01>, 04.04.2022.
- [21] D.S. Sparks. “How ‘Intelligent’ Tutors Could Transform Teaching”, *Education Week*, 2017: URL: <https://www.edweek.org/leadership/how-intelligent-tutors-could-transform-teaching/2017/09>, 04.04.2022.
- [22] B. Herold. What Is Personalized Learning? *EducationWeek*. 2019. URL: <https://www.edweek.org/technology/what-is-personalized-learning/2019/11>, 04.04.2022.
- [23] A. Ramachandran, V. Scassellati. “Adapting Difficulty Levels in Personalized Robot-Child Tutoring Interactions, Machine Learning for Interactive Systems”, Paper from the *AAAI-14 Workshop*, 2014. URL: <http://www.aditiramachandran.com/publications/aaai2014.pdf>, 04.04.2022.
- [24] J. Han, B. Kang, S. Hong. “How to sustain long-term interaction between children and ROBOSEM in English class”, in the *7th ACM/IEEE International Conference on Human-Robot Interaction*, 2012, URL: <https://ojs.aaai.org/index.php/AAAI/article/view/9914>, 04.04.2022.
- [25] S. Huang. “Design and Development of Educational Robot Teaching Resources Using Artificial Intelligence Technology”, *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET)*, 16, 5 (2021): 116-129.
- [26] D. Yang, O. Eung-Soo, Y. Wang. “Hybrid Physical Education Teaching and Curriculum Design Based on a Voice Interactive Artificial Intelligence Educational Robot”. *Sustainability* 12, no. 19, (2020): 8000.
- [27] G. Karales, G. Karalekas, S. Vologiannidis, J. Kalomiros, Teaching Machine Learning in K–12 Using Robotics. *Education Sciences*. 2023; 13(1):67. <https://www.mdpi.com/2227-7102/13/1/67>
- [28] A. Ineia, P. De C. Velho, N. E. De A. Feldens, C. T. W. Da Rosa, R. M. Ellensohn, Creative learning of sustainable

- educational robotics in Youth and Adult Education: perspective of sustainable development and access for all. *Research, Society and Development*, [S. l.], v. 11, n. 7, p. e28111729994, 2022. DOI: 10.33448/rsd-v11i7.29994. URL: <https://rsdjournal.org/index.php/rsd/article/view/29994>.
- [29] S.A. Ali, Designing Child-Robot Interaction for Facilitating Creative Learning. Thesis: S.M., Massachusetts Institute of Technology, School of Architecture and Planning, Program in Media Arts and Sciences, 2019
- [30] S. A. Ali, T. Moroso, C. Breazeal. Can Children Learn Creativity from a Social Robot?. In *Proceedings of the 2019 on Creativity and Cognition* (pp. 359-368). ACM, 2019.
- [31] J. Sari, E. Purwanta. The Implementation of Artificial Intelligence in STEM-Based Creative Learning in the Society 5.0 Era. *Tadris: Jurnal Keguruan dan Ilmu Tarbiyah*. 6 (2021). 433-440. 10.24042/tadris.v6i2.10135.
- [32] S. Brignone, R. Grimaldi, L. Denicolai, S. Palmieri. "Intelligenza artificiale, robot e rappresentazione della conoscenza. Il laboratorio di simulazione del comportamento e robotica educativa Luciano Gallino", *The Lab's Quarterly*, XXII, 2 (2020): 143-162.
- [33] M. Kandlhofer, G. Steinbauer, J.P. Laßnig, W. Bauman, S. Plomer, S. Ballagi, I. Alfoldi. "Enabling the Creation of Intelligent Things: Bringing Artificial Intelligence and Robotics to Schools", in *2019 IEEE Conference on Frontiers in Education*, 1-5, IEEE, Covington, KY, 2019.
- [34] S. Ali, R. Williams, B. Payne, H. Park, C. Breazeal. "Constructionism, Ethics, and Creativity: Developing Primary and Middle School Artificial Intelligence Education", *Proceedings of IJCAI 2019*, Macao. 2019. URL: https://dam-prod.media.mit.edu/x/2019/10/10/Constructionism_Ethics_and_Creativity.pdf, 04.04.2022.
- [35] L. Ferrari, A. Macaudo, A. Soriani, V. Russo. "Educational robotics and artificial intelligence education: what priorities for schools?", *Form@re*, 20 (2020): 68-85.
- [36] R. Williams, H.W. Park, C. Breazeal C. "A is for Artificial Intelligence: The Impact of Artificial Intelligence Activities on Young Children's Perceptions of Robots", *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI*
- [37] Williams R., Park H.W., Oh L. and Breazeal C. (2019), "PopBots: Designing an Artificial Intelligence Curriculum for Early Childhood Education", *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 33, 1: 9729-9736.
- [38] T.-C. Hsu, H. Abelson, N. Lao, Y.H Tseng, Y.-T. Lin. "Behavioral-pattern exploration and development of an instructional tool for young children to learn AI", *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2 (2021): 1-14.